## SOME THEOREMS ON $L^p$ FOURIER SERIES

## RICHARD P. GOSSELIN(1)

1. The almost everywhere convergence of lacunary sequences of partial sums has long been known for  $L^2$  Fourier series [8, p. 251]. Somewhat later the result was established for class  $L^p$  by Littlewood and Paley [4] and for class H by Zygmund, [6] and [7]. In the papers of Zygmund, he also extended to class H an unpublished result of Paley concerning  $L^p$  series. This stated that for f in  $L^p$ , p>1, and for almost every x, the positive integers can be divided into complementary sequences,  $\{m_r\}$  and  $\{n_r\}$ , in general depending on x, such that  $s_{m_v}(x; f)$  converges to f(x) and such that  $\sum 1/n_v$  $< \infty$ . Here  $s_m(x; f)$  denotes as usual the mth partial sum of the Fourier series of f at x. The sequence  $\{m_r\}$  depends then on both the function f and the point x. Results intermediate between these have recently appeared [1] in which the sequence of indices of the partial sums for which convergence takes place are more dense in a certain sense than lacunary sequences, less dense than those described immediately above; and they depend on the function f but not on the point x. In particular, for each f in  $L^2$ , there is a sequence  $\{m_{\nu}\}\$  of upper density one such that  $s_{m_{\nu}}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

In this paper we shall generalize our initial results on  $L^p$  series, which were largely based on the Hausdorff-Young theorem. Much stronger results will be obtained by the use of powerful theorems of Littlewood and Paley. In the next section we give our preliminary lemmas; in the third section our two main theorems; and in the final section additional results which are mainly corollaries of our main results.

2. Our theorems depend to a large extent on a certain result of Littlewood and Paley [4, II], which we now describe. Let  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  be two sequences of positive integers satisfying  $1 < \alpha \le m_{k+1}/m_k \le \beta$ ,  $1 < \alpha \le n_{k+1}/n_k \le \beta$  for fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ . Let f belong to  $L^p$ , p > 1, and let  $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_m e^{imx}$  be its Fourier series. We may assume throughout that  $c_0 = 0$ . For k > 0, let  $\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n_k} c_n e^{i\nu x}$ ;  $\Delta_{-k}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-\infty} c_n e^{i\nu x}$  if it is understood that  $m_0 = n_0 = 0$ . The theorem states that

$$(1) \quad A_{p,\alpha,\beta} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f(x) \right|^{p} dx \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{p/2} dx \leq B_{p,\alpha,\beta} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f(x) \right|^{p} dx$$

Presented to the Society, April 26, 1958 under the title A theorem on L<sup>p</sup> Fourier series; received by the editors March 3, 1958.

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Research Grant NSF G-2789.

where  $A_{v,\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $B_{v,\alpha,\beta}$  are constants depending only on their subscripts.

In general it will be sufficient to consider only those f such that  $c_n = 0$  for  $n \le 0$ ; and we do this now. Letting  $n_k = \lambda^k$  for  $\lambda$  an integer exceeding 1, we have that the constants A and B in (1) depend on p and  $\lambda$ . We shall be interested in blocks of consecutive  $\Delta_k(x)$ 's. Thus, for  $K_2 > K_1$  by (1)

(2) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{k=K_1+1}^{K_2} |\Delta_k(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx \leq B_{\lambda,p} \int_0^{2\pi} |s_{\lambda} \kappa_{s}(x;f) - s_{\lambda} \kappa_{1}(x;f)|^p dx.$$

The constant  $B_{\lambda,p}$  may be large, but by the well known fact of the mean convergence of  $L^p$  Fourier series, the right side of (2) may be made arbitrarily small for fixed  $\lambda$  by taking  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  sufficiently large. We let

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^p dx, \qquad \delta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{k=K}^{2K-1} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx.$$

For a real number y, let  $\langle y \rangle$  denote the greatest integer not exceeding y. The principle involved in our first lemma will be useful in all our proofs.

LEMMA 1. For  $\beta > 0$ , among the K numbers  $\mathcal{E}_k$ , no more than  $\langle K/\beta \rangle$  of them exceed  $\beta \delta K^{-p/2}$ .

The proof follows directly from Hölder's inequality which gives

$$\sum_{k=K}^{2K-1} | \Delta_k(x) |^p \le K^{1-p/2} \left( \sum_{k=K}^{2K-1} | \Delta_k(x) |^2 \right)^{p/2}.$$

Integration of this inequality gives

$$\sum_{k=K}^{2K-1} \mathcal{E}_k \leq K^{1-p/2} \delta.$$

If N of the numbers  $\mathcal{E}_k$  exceed  $\beta \delta K^{-p/2}$ , then from the above  $N\beta \delta K^{-p/2} \leq K^{1-p/2}\delta$ ; or  $N \leq K/\beta$  as required.

We shall also need another result of Littlewood and Paley [4, III].

LEMMA 2. If f belongs to  $L^2$ , then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sup_n \left\{ \frac{\left| s_n(x;f) \right|^2}{\log(n+2)} \right\} dx \le A_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx.$$

The original proof covers the  $L^p$  case,  $1 , and is complicated. A relatively simple proof covers the <math>L^2$  case and will be found in [2]. The L analogue of Lemma 2 is false [7] although it is well known, for example, that almost everywhere  $s_n(x;f) = o(\log n)$  [8, p. 32]. However we shall require the somewhat different result of the following lemma, which involves the function

$$s_n^*(x;f) = \sup_{m \le n} |s_m(x;f)|.$$

LEMMA 3. Given M>0 and f in L, let  $E_M$  be the set of x values in  $[0, 2\pi]$  for which  $s_n^*(x; f) > M \log(n+2)$ . Then  $E_M$  has measure not exceeding  $A M^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx$ , where A is a constant.

Let  $F = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)| dt$ . If  $F/M > 2\pi(n+2)$ , then the condition on  $|E_M|$ , the measure of  $E_M$ , is automatically satisfied with  $A \ge 1$  since  $|E_M| \le 2\pi$ . If, on the other hand,  $2\pi(n+2)F < M$ , then  $E_M$  has measure 0 since

$$\left| s_m(x;f) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(t) \right| \left| D_m(x-t) \right| dt \leq (n+1) \frac{F}{\pi}, \quad m \leq n$$

where  $D_m(x)$  is the Dirichlet kernel. Hence we may assume that

$$(2\pi(n+2))^{-1} \le FM^{-1} \le 2\pi(n+2).$$

Let Q be the least integer exceeding both  $MF^{-1}$  and  $4(n+2)FM^{-1}$  so that  $1 \le Q \le 8\pi(n+2)^2 + 1$ . Let  $I_{\nu}$  be the interval  $2\pi\nu Q^{-2} \le x \le 2\pi(\nu+1)Q^{-2}$ ,  $\nu=0, 1, \dots, Q^2-1$ . Then, except possibly for Q values of  $\nu$ ,

$$\int_{I_{\nu}} |f(t)| dt \le FQ^{-1}.$$

Let  $G_1$  be the exceptional set of  $I_r$ 's. Then,  $|G_1| \le 2\pi Q^{-1}$ . Let  $G_2$  be the set of intervals of length  $2\pi Q^{-3}$  situated symmetrically about the points  $2\pi\nu Q^{-2}$ ,  $\nu=0, 1, \cdots, Q^2-1$ . Then  $|G_2| \le 2\pi Q^{-1}$ . If x belongs to the complement of  $G_1 \cup G_2$  and also belongs to  $I_r$ , then

$$\int_{0 \leq |x-t| \leq \pi/Q^3} \left| f(t) \right| dt \leq \int_{I_p} \left| f(t) \right| dt \leq FQ^{-1}.$$

For  $m \leq n$ ,

$$| s_m(x;f) | \leq \frac{(n+1/2)}{\pi} \int_{0 \leq |x-t| \leq \pi/Q^3} |f(t)| dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pi/Q^3 \leq |x-t| \leq \pi} \frac{|f(t)|}{|x-t|} dt$$

$$= T_1(x) + T_2(x).$$

Since  $\int_0^{2\pi} T_2(x) dx \le 2F$  (log  $Q^3$ ), then  $2T_2(x) \le M \log(n+2)$  for x outside a set  $G_3$  of measure not exceeding 12F (log Q)/M log(n+2). For x outside  $G_1 \cup G_2$ 

$$T_1(x) \le \frac{n+1/2}{\pi} \frac{F}{O} \le \frac{M}{4} < \frac{M}{2} \log(n+2).$$

Thus for x in the complement of  $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$ ,  $s_n^*(x; f) \leq M \log(n+2)$ . The measure of this set does not exceed

$$\frac{4\pi}{Q} + \frac{12F \log Q}{M \log(n+2)} \le \frac{4\pi F}{M} + \frac{12F}{M \log(n+2)} \log \left[ 8\pi (n+2)^2 + 1 \right] \le \frac{CF}{M}$$

for some constant C.

Lemma 3 leads in an easy way to a weak analogue of Lemma 2; thus if f belongs to L,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} [s_n^*(x;f)]^r dx \le C_r [\log(n+2)]^r \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)| dx \right]^r, \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

To prove this we may assume that  $s_n^*(x;f) \ge F \log(n+2)$ . Let  $G_m$  be the set of x values for which  $s_n^*(x;f) \ge Fm \log(n+2)$ . By Lemma 3,  $|G_m| \le Am^{-1}$ . Then our result follows from the sequence of inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} [s_{n}^{*}(x;f)]^{r} dx \leq [\log(n+2)]^{r} F^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+1)^{r} [\mid G_{m} \mid -\mid G_{m+1} \mid]$$

$$\leq [2\log(n+2)]^{r} F^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{r-1} \mid G_{m} \mid \leq A [2\log(n+2)]^{r} F^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{r-2}.$$

3. Our first theorem deals with the density function for a sequence of distinct positive integers: given  $\{m_r\}$ , let  $\sigma(n)$  be the number of terms of the sequence not exceeding n. For the  $L^2$  case we were able to prove that  $s_{m_r}(x;f)$  converged to f almost everywhere for some sequence of upper density one: i.e. such that  $\limsup \sigma(n)/n=1$  [1, p. 396]. Here the corresponding result for  $L^p$ ,  $1 , is not the same, but it merges, so to speak, with the <math>L^2$  result. We shall say that a sequence  $\{m_r\}$  satisfies condition  $C_p$  if

$$(C_p) \qquad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{(2-p)/2(p-1)} \sigma(n)}{n} \ge 1.$$

THEOREM 1. If f belongs to  $L^p$ ,  $1 , there is a sequence <math>\{m_p\}$  satisfying  $(C_p)$  such that  $s_{m_p}(x; f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

We assume first that  $c_n = 0$  for  $n \le 0$ . Let  $\{\lambda_r\}$  and  $\{k_r\}$  be sequences of positive integers, in general large; and let  $n_k = \lambda_r^k$ ,  $k = k_r$ ,  $k_r + 1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $2k_r$ . Let

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} |\Delta_k(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx = \delta_r, \qquad \Delta_k(x) = \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} c_n e^{inx}.$$

As noted in the previous section,  $\delta_r$  depends not only on f but also on  $\lambda_r$  and  $k_r$ ; but it can be made as small as we please, given  $\lambda_r$ , by proper choice of  $k_r$ . Let  $E_r$  be the set of x values in  $[0, 2\pi]$  for which

$$\sum_{k=k_{r}}^{2k_{r}-1} \mid \Delta_{k}(x) \mid^{2} > (k_{r})^{1/(p-1)}.$$

From the preceding,  $|E_r|$ , the measure of  $E_r$ , does not exceed  $\delta_r k_r^{-q/2}$  where q is the conjugate of p, i.e.  $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ . Let  $\gamma=(2-p)/2(p-1)$ . On  $E_r'$ , the complement of  $E_r$ ,

$$\sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^2 \leq k_r^{\gamma} \left( \sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^2 \right)^{p/2}.$$

Integrating this inequality over  $E_r'$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=k_{r}}^{2k_{r}-1} \int_{B_{r}^{\prime}} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq k_{r}^{\gamma} \delta_{r}.$$

By the same reasoning as that used in the proof of Lemma 1, no more than  $\langle k_r/3 \rangle$  of the numbers  $\int_{E_r'} |\Delta_k(x)|^2 dx$ ,  $k=k_r,\cdots,2k_r-1$ , exceed  $3k_r^{\gamma-1}\delta_r$ . By Lemma 1 itself, no more than  $\langle k_r/3 \rangle$  of the numbers  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta_k(x)|^p dx$ ,  $k=k_r,\cdots,2k_r-1$ , exceed  $3k_r^{-p/2}\delta_r$ . Hence, for at least one k, say k(r) satisfying  $k_r \leq k(r) \leq 2k_r-1$ , the following simultaneous inequalities hold.

(3) 
$$\int_{E'_{r}} \left| \Delta_{k(r)}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq 3k_{r}^{\gamma - 1} \delta_{r}, \qquad \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \Delta_{k(r)}(x) \right|^{p} dx \leq 3k_{r}^{-p/2} \delta_{r}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the characteristic function of the set  $E_r$ . To investigate the partial sums of the Fourier series of  $\Delta_{k(r)}$ , we consider separately the partial sums of the Fourier series of the two functions  $\mathfrak{X}\Delta_{k(r)}$  and  $(1-\mathfrak{X})\Delta_{k(r)}$ . By Holder's inequality and (3) above

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \ \mathfrak{X}(x) \Delta_{k(r)}(x) \ \right| \ dx \ \le \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \ \Delta_{k(r)}(x) \ \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \left| \ E_{r} \ \right|^{1/q} \le 3^{1/p} \delta_{r} k_{r}^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 3, there is a set  $F_r$  of measure not more than  $A \delta_r^{1/2} \log \lambda_r$  for some constant A such that for x in  $F_r'$ 

(4) 
$$\sup_{n \leq \lambda_r^{2k_r}} \left| s_n(x; \mathfrak{X}\Delta_{k(r)}) \right| \leq \delta_r^{1/2}.$$

By (3), the square of the  $L^2$  norm of the function  $(1-\mathfrak{X})\Delta_{k(r)}$  does not exceed  $3k_r^{\gamma-1}\delta_r$ . We apply to this function the methods of [1]. Let its Fourier series be  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_n e^{inx}$ ; let  $L_r = \langle (n_{k(r)+1} - n_{k(r)})/k_r^{\gamma} \rangle$ ,  $J_r = \langle k_r^{\gamma} \rangle - 1$ ; and let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{\substack{n_{k(r)} + (\mu+1)L_r \\ |n| = n_{k(r)} + 1 + \mu L_r}}^{n_{k(r)} + (\mu+1)L_r} d_n e^{inx}, \qquad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, J_r.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{J_r} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \, \mathcal{E}_{\mu}(x) \, \right|^2 dx \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \, \left( 1 \, - \, \mathfrak{X}(x) \right) \Delta_{k(r)}(x) \, \right|^2 dx \le 3 k_r^{\gamma - 1} \delta_r$$

then for at least one  $\mu$ , say  $\mu(r)$ ,  $0 \le \mu(r) < \langle k_r^{\gamma} \rangle$ ,

(5) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{E}_{\mu(r)}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq C k_{r}^{-1} \delta_{r}$$

for some constant C. Combining this result with Lemma 2, we obtain

(6) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sup_{n \leq \lambda^{2k_r}} |s_n(x; \mathcal{E}_{\mu(r)})|^2 dx \leq 2C A_2 \delta_r(\log \lambda_r).$$

Let  $N_r = n_{k(r)} + \mu(r)L_r$ . Let the sequence  $\{m_r\}$  take on the values m such that  $N_r \le m \le N_r + L_r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \cdots$ . For any such m there is an r such that

(7) 
$$s_m(x;f) = s_{N_r}(x;f) + \left\{ s_m(x;\Delta_{k(r)}) - s_{N_r}(x;\Delta_{k(r)}) \right\}.$$

Now we write

(8) 
$$s_{m}(x; \Delta_{k(r)}) - s_{N_{r}}(x; \Delta_{k(r)}) = \left\{ s_{m}(x; \mathcal{X}\Delta_{k(r)}) - s_{N_{r}}(x; \mathcal{X}\Delta_{k(r)}) \right\} + \left\{ s_{m}(x; (1 - \mathcal{X})\Delta_{k(r)}) - s_{N_{r}}(x; (1 - \mathcal{X})\Delta_{k(r)}) \right\}.$$

By (4), the first bracketed term on the right of (8) does not exceed in absolute value  $2\delta_r^{1/2}$  outside a set of measure not more than A (log  $\lambda_r$ ) $\delta_r^{1/2}$ . The second bracketed term on the right of (8) is  $s_m(x; \mathcal{E}_{\mu(r)})$ , which, by virtue of (6), does not exceed in absolute value  $\delta_r^{1/4}$  outside a set of measure not more than  $2CA_2$  (log  $\lambda_r$ ) $\delta_r^{1/2}$ . Thus

(9) 
$$\sup_{N_r \leq m \leq N_r + L_r} |s_m(x; \Delta_{k(r)}) - s_{N_r}(x; \Delta_{k(r)})| \leq 2\delta_r^{1/2} + \delta_r^{1/4},$$

for x in a set  $G'_r$  where  $|G_r| \leq B$   $(\log \lambda_r) \delta_r^{1/2}$  for some constant B. From our previous comments about the smallness of  $\delta_r$ , it follows that choices of  $\{\lambda_r\}$  and  $\{k_r\}$  can be made, even if  $\lambda_r$  is allowed to increase to  $\infty$  slowly enough, so that  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |G_r| < \infty$ , i.e. so that almost every x belongs to all sets  $G'_r$  for all sufficiently large r. The sequence  $\{N_r\}$  can be made lacunary so that  $s_{N_r}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere. From (7) and (9) we deduce that  $s_{M_r}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

Since  $\lambda_r^{k_r} \leq N_r + L_r \leq \lambda_r^{k(r)+1}$ , we have for the sequence  $\{m_r\}$ 

$$(\log (N_r + L_r))^{\gamma} \frac{\sigma(N_r + L_r)}{N_r + L_r} \ge k_r^{\gamma} (\log \lambda_r)^{\gamma} \frac{L_r}{N_r + L_r}$$

$$\ge (\log \lambda_r)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_r}\right) - \frac{k_r^{\gamma} (\log \lambda_r)^{\gamma}}{\lambda_r^{k(r)+1}}.$$

The second term on the right goes to 0, and the first term can be made larger than 1 so that  $(C_p)$  is satisfied. The limit can, in fact, be made infinite if  $\gamma > 0$ , i.e. if  $1 ; but then the analogy with the <math>L^2$  theorem is lost.

It remains only to get rid of the restriction that  $c_n = 0$  for  $n \le 0$ . Thus we may write  $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(-x)$  where  $f_i$  belongs to  $L^p$  and where the Fourier coefficients of negative index for the two functions  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  are 0. We may proceed as before to find an integer k(r) such that inequalities analogous to (3) hold simultaneously for both of these functions; and then a single

integer  $\mu(r)$  such that inequalities analogous to (5) hold for both. Hence a single sequence  $\{m_{\nu}\}$  satisfying  $(C_{p})$  is constructed so that  $s_{m_{\nu}}(x; f_{i})$  converges almost everywhere to  $f_{i}$ , i=1, 2. A generalization of this technique leads to the following generalization of Theorem 1: given a sequence  $\{f_{n}\}$  of functions in  $L^{p}$ ,  $1 , there is a sequence of integers satisfying <math>(C_{p})$  such that almost everywhere  $s_{m_{\nu}}(x; f_{n})$  converges to  $f_{n}$  for every n. The same principle applies to all our theorems, and we do not mention it again.

With respect to a lacunary sequence  $\{n_k\}$  we shall say that the sequence  $\{m_{\nu}\}$  of positive integers satisfies the condition  $(c_{\gamma})$  if in every block  $(n_k, n_{k+1})$  there is a block of terms from  $\{m_{\nu}\}$  of length at least

$$\left\langle \frac{n_{k+1}-n_k}{(\log n_{k+1})^{\gamma}} \right\rangle.$$

The next theorem is stated in terms of the  $(c_{\gamma})$  condition, and it merges with the corresponding  $L^2$  theorem except for minor adjustments [1, p. 392].

THEOREM 2. Let f belong to  $L^p$ ,  $1 ; let <math>\gamma > 3q/2 - 2$  where q is the conjugate of p; and let  $\{n_k\}$  be a lacunary sequence. Then there is a sequence  $\{m_r\}$  satisfying  $(c_{\gamma})$  with respect to  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $s_{m_p}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

In order to make use of the Littlewood-Paley result, we must have a lacunary sequence  $\{N_j\}$  such that  $N_{j+1}/N_j$  is bounded above. There is no difficulty in imbedding the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  in a sequence  $\{N_j\}$  satisfying the condition  $1 < \alpha \le N_{j+1}/N_j \le \beta$ . This can be done, for example, by adjoining to  $\{n_k\}$  the appropriate terms of a geometric progression. If the theorem is proved for the sequence  $\{N_j\}$ , and if  $n_{k+1} = N_{j+1}$ , then

$$\left\langle \frac{N_{j+1} - N_j}{(\log N_{j+1})^{\gamma}} \right\rangle \ge \left\langle \frac{n_{k+1}(1 - \alpha^{-1})}{(\log n_{k+1})^{\gamma}} \right\rangle \ge \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1}}{2} \left\langle \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{(\log n_{k+1})^{\gamma}} \right\rangle$$

for  $n_k$  big enough. Hence, apart from the constant factor  $(1-\alpha^{-1})/2$ , the sequence  $\{m_r\}$  satisfies  $(c_\gamma)$  with respect to  $\{n_k\}$ . As our proof will show, compensation can be made for this factor. Thus we begin by assuming that  $1 < \alpha \le n_{k+1}/n_k \le \beta$ . In fact we shall assume that  $n_k = 3^k$  and, as before, that  $c_n = 0$  for  $n \le 0$ . The adjustments in the proof for the general case are quite minor.  $\Delta_k(x)$  will have the same meaning as previously. By Hölder's inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(\gamma - q + 1)(p - 1)} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^p \le \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_k(x) \right|^2 \right)^{p/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-r} \right)^{1 - p/2}$$

where  $\tau = 2(\gamma - q + 1)(p - 1)/(2 - p)$ . The second factor of the right side is a convergent series since  $\tau > 1$ . Integrating this inequality gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(\gamma - q + 1)(p - 1)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\Delta_k(x)|^p dx < \infty$$

by (1). Let  $E_k$  be the set of x values for which  $|\Delta_k(x)| > k^{\gamma}$ . On  $E_k$ ,  $|\Delta_k(x)| < k^{-\gamma(p-1)} |\Delta_k(x)|^p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}_k(x)$  be the characteristic function of  $E_k$ . Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| \mathfrak{X}_k(x) \Delta_k(x) \right| dx \leq k^{-\gamma(p-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| \Delta_k(x) \right| dx = k^{-1} \delta_k.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3, if  $F_{k,M}$  is the set where  $\sup_{n \leq 3^{k+1}} |s_n(x; \Delta_k \mathfrak{X}_k)| > M$ , then

$$\left| F_{k,M} \right| \leq \frac{A\delta_k}{M}$$

for some constant A. For  $E_k$ , the complement of  $E_k$ , we have as before

(11) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq k^{\gamma(2-p)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{p} dx = k^{\gamma-1} \delta_{k}.$$

Let  $d_n$  be the *n*th Fourier coefficient of  $\Delta_k(1-\mathfrak{X}_k)$ , let  $L_k=\langle 2(3)^k/k^\gamma\rangle$ , and let

$$\epsilon_{j}(x) = \sum_{|n|=3^{k}+1+jL_{k}}^{3^{k}+(j+1)L_{k}} d_{n}e^{inx}, \qquad j=0, 1, \cdots, \langle k^{\gamma} \rangle - 1 = J.$$

Now by (11)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{J} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{E}_{j}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{2} (1 - \mathfrak{X}_{k}(x)) dx \leq k^{\gamma - 1} \delta_{k}.$$

For at least one j in the given range,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \, \mathcal{E}_j(x) \, \right|^2 dx \, \leq \, 2k^{-1} \delta_k$$

since there are  $J+1=\langle k^{\gamma}\rangle$  numbers  $\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{E}_j(x) \right|^2 dx$ . Denote a suitable j by j(k). Lemma 2 implies

(12) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \sup_{n \leq 3^{k+1}} |s_n(x; \mathcal{E}_{j(k)})|^2 dx \leq 2A_2 \frac{k+1}{k} (\log 3) \delta_k.$$

Now we let  $\{m_r\}$  take on the values m such that  $N_k = 3^k + j(k)L_k \le m \le 3^k + [j(k)+1]L_k$ ,  $k=1, 2, \cdots$ . The sequence  $\{m_r\}$  satisfies  $(c_{\gamma})$ . For any such m, there is a k such that

(13) 
$$s_m(x;f) = s_{N_k}(x;f) + \left\{ s_m(x;\Delta_k) - s_{N_k}(x;\Delta_k) \right\}$$

$$= s_{N_k}(x;f) + \left\{ s_m(x;\Delta_k X_k) - s_{N_k}(x;\Delta_k X_k) \right\} + s_m(x;\mathcal{E}_{j(k)}).$$

Let  $\{\mu_k\}$  be a sequence increasing to  $\infty$  slowly enough so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^2 \delta_k < \infty$ . From (10) and (12) it follows that

$$\sup_{N_k \leq m \leq N_k + L_k} \left| \left\{ s_m(x; \Delta_k \mathfrak{X}_k) - s_{N_k}(x; \Delta_k \mathfrak{X}_k) \right\} + s_m(x; \mathcal{E}_{j(k)}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_k}$$

outside a set  $G_k$  of measure not exceeding  $8A_2[(k+1)/k]$  (log  $3)\delta_k\mu_k^2+4A\delta_k\mu_k$ . Thus  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|G_k|<\infty$  so that almost everywhere the above is true for all sufficiently large k. The sequences  $\{N_k\}$ , k odd and k even, are separately lacunary so that  $s_{N_k}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere. From (13) we deduce that  $s_{M_k}(x;f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

4. The tools used in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are also available for Walsh series, at least for the  $L^2$  case [5], but not for general orthonormal series. In fact the construction used in the proof of a theorem of Menchoff [3, p. 167] can be modified so as to show the following: there is an orthonormal system  $\{\phi_n\}$  and an  $L^2$  function f such that for any sequence  $\{m_n\}$  of upper density one, the sequence of partial sums of index  $m_n$  of the  $\{\phi_n\}$  expansion of f diverges almost everywhere.

Our theorems do have analogues for the case of Fourier integrals. We give a sample in the following theorm. Let

$$S_{\omega}(x;f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \frac{\sin \omega(x-t)}{x-t} dt$$

which is defined for all x and  $\omega$  if f belongs to  $L(-\infty, \infty)$ .

THEOREM 3. If f belongs to  $L(-\infty, \infty)$ , and if for some  $p, 1 , f belongs to <math>L^p$  over any finite interval, then there is a sequence  $\{m_p\}$  of positive integers satisfying  $(C_p)$  such that  $S_{m_p}(x; f)$  converges to f almost everywhere.

For  $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ , we define  $f_n(x)=f(x+2\pi n), 0 \le x < 2\pi$ , and by periodicity elsewhere. Since each  $f_n$  belongs to  $L^p(0, 2\pi)$ , there is according to our remarks following the proof of Theorem 1 a sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  satisfying  $(C_p)$  such that almost everywhere  $s_{m_\nu}(x; f_n)$  converges to  $f_n$  for each n. Our theorem then follows from the equiconvergence principle [8, p. 306] which implies that  $S_{m_\nu}(x; f) - s_{m_\nu}(x; f_n)$  converges to 0 for  $2\pi n < x < 2\pi (n+1)$ .

The techniques of the proof of Theorem 1 can also be used to find a sequence  $\{m_{\nu}\}$  satisfying  $(C_{p})$ , corresponding to a function f satisfying a certain continuity condition, such that the order of convergence of  $s_{m_{\nu}}(x;f)$  to f reflects this continuity. Let

$$\omega_p(\delta; f) = \sup_{0 < h \le \delta} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(x+h) - f(x) \right|^p dx \right]^{1/p}.$$

THEOREM 4. If f belongs to  $L^p$ ,  $1 , and if <math>\omega_p(\delta; f) = o[(\log 1/\delta)^{-\alpha}]$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$ , then there is a sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  satisfying  $(C_p)$  such that almost everywhere  $s_{m_\nu}(x; f) - f(x) = o[(\log m_\nu)^{-\alpha}]$ .

Let  $\sigma_n(x; f)$  be the *n*th Cèsaro mean of the Fourier series of f at x. We have [8, p. 85]

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sigma_n(x;f) - f(x) \right|^p dx \le \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| f(x+t) - f(x) \right|^p dx$$

where  $K_n$  is the *n*th Fejer kernel. The integration along the *t* axis can be split into two parts:  $|t| \le n^{-1/2}$  and  $n^{-1/2} < |t| \le \pi$ . If use is made of the inequality  $K_n(t) \le \pi^2 (n+1)^{-1} t^{-2}$  for the second part, it follows that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sigma_n(x; f) - f(x) \right|^p dx = o \left[ (\log n)^{-\alpha p} \right].$$

Then [8, p. 153] if we let  $f(x) = f_n(x) + \sigma_n(x; f)$ 

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p}[f-s_{n}] = \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(x)-s_{n}(x;f)|^{p} dx\right]^{1/p} \leq A_{p} \mathfrak{M}_{p}[f_{n-1}]$$

for some constant  $A_p$ . Now we use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1 except for the following minor change:  $\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{|n|=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} c_n e^{inx}$ . We may write  $\delta_r = k_r^{-\alpha p} \delta_{r,1}$  where  $\delta_{r,1} = o(1)$  as r goes to  $\infty$ , from what has been shown about the mean convergence of  $s_n(x; f)$ .  $E_r$  is now defined as the set of x values for which

$$\sum_{k=k_{r}}^{2k_{r}-1} \left| \Delta_{k}(x) \right|^{2} > k_{r}^{1/(p-1)-2\alpha}.$$

In the same way as before, k(r) is found such that

$$\int_{F'} |\Delta_{k(r)}(x)|^2 dx \leq 3k_r^{\gamma-2\alpha-1} \delta_{r,1}, \qquad \int_0^{2\tau} |\Delta_{k(r)}(x)|^p dx \leq 3k_r^{-p/2-\alpha p} \delta_{r,1}.$$

Thus there is a set  $F_r$  with measure not exceeding  $A \delta_{r,1}^{1/2} (\log \lambda_r)^{\alpha+1}$  such that for x in  $F'_r$ 

$$\sup_{n \leq \lambda^{2k_r}} \left| s_n(x; \mathfrak{X}\Delta_{k(r)}) \right| \leq \delta_{r,1}^{1/2} k_r^{-\alpha} (2 \log \lambda_r)^{-\alpha}$$

 $L_r$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  have their previous meaning. Analogous to (6) is the following:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sup_{n \le \lambda_r^{2k_r}} \left| s_n(x; \mathcal{E}_{\mu(r)}) \right|^2 dx \le C A_2(\log \lambda_r) \delta_{r, 1} k_r^{-2\alpha}.$$

That is,  $\sup_{n \le \lambda_r^{2k_r}} |s_n(x; \mathcal{E}_{\mu(r)})| \le \delta_r^{1/2} (2k_r \log \lambda_r)^{-\alpha}$  outside a set of small measure. Since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| s_{N_r}(x;f) - f(x) \right|^p dx = o(k_r^{-\alpha p})$$

we have, for some small  $\delta$ ,  $|s_{N_r}(x; f) - f(x)| \le \delta (2k_r \log \lambda_r)^{-\alpha}$  outside a set of small measure. Now the proof can be completed by our original method.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. P. Gosselin, On the convergence of Fourier series of functions in an L<sup>p</sup> class, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 7, no. 3 (1956) pp. 392-397.
- 2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Notes on the theory of series (XXIII): On the partial sums of Fourier series, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. vol. 40 (1944) pp. 103-107.
  - 3. S. Kacmarz and H. Steinhaus, Theorie der orthogonalreihen, New York, 1951.
- 4. J. E. Littlewood and R. E. A. C. Paley, Theorems on Fourier series and power series, II and III, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 42 (1937) pp. 52-89; vol. 43 (1937) pp. 105-126.
- 5. R. E. A. C. Paley, A remarkable series of orthogonal functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 34 (1932) pp. 241-279.
- 6. A. Zygmund, *Proof of a theorem of Paley*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. vol. 34 (1938) pp. 125-133.
- 7. ——, On the convergence and summability of power series on the circle of convergence, Fund. Math. vol. 30 (1938) pp. 170-196.
  - 8. ——, Trigonometrical series, Warsaw, 1935.

University of Connecticut, Storrs, Conn.